



Existence of Positive Solutions for (p_1, p_2) - Laplacian System to Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

R. Sahandi Torogh

Department of Mathematics, Varamin-Pishva branch, Islamic Azad University, Varamin, Iran

sahandi@iauvaramin.ac.ir

(Received Oct 2016; Published Dec 2016)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we deal with the multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of (p, q) -Laplacian system. Moreover the author established suitable conditions under which, the problem has positive solutions.

Keywords: Positive solution; lower and upper solution; (p_1, p_2) -Laplacian system

<https://doi.org/10.14331/ijfps.2016.330098>

INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the existence of positive solutions for the following (p_1, p_2) -Laplacian system.

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{p_1}(u_1') + h_1(t)f_1(u_1, u_2) = 0, t \in (0,1) \\ \varphi_{p_2}(u_2') + h_2(t)f_2(u_1, u_2) = 0, \\ u_1(0) = u_1(1) = u_2(0) = u_2(1). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Where $\varphi_{p_i}(x) = |x|^{p_i-2}x$, $p_i > 1, x \in \varphi_{p_1}(u_1')$, $f_i \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\lambda, \mu > 0$, $f_i(0,0,0) = 0$, for $i=1,2$, $h_i \in L^1_{loc}$, $h_i \in X_i$, where

$$X_i = \left\{ h_i \in L^1_{loc} \left| \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(r)| dr \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^s |h_i(r)| dr \right) ds < \infty \right. \right\} \quad (2)$$

In recent years, many authors have studied the existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems. For example (Liang & Zhang, 2009; Pang, Lian, & Ge, 2007; Sun & Ge, 2007) have studied the existence of positive solutions for some boundary value problems. The existence of three positive solutions for the problem,

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi_p(u'(x)))' + \lambda h(x)f(u(x)) = 0, x \in (0,1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad *$$

was studied by (Sim & Tanaka, 2015). Cheng and Lü (2012) studied the existence of solutions for some nonlinear eigenvalue (p,q) -Laplacian system. (Lee, Kim, & Lee, 2014) have studied the existence of solutions for ,

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi_p(u'(x)))' + h(x)f(u(x)) = 0, x \in (0,1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad **$$

In this paper we extend the existence result of (*),(**) to the problem (1).

PRELIMINARIES

Let

$$C_2[0,1] = \{(u_1, u_2) \in (C[0,1] \times C[0,1]) \cap (C^1[0,1] \times C^1[0,1]) \mid -\infty < \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} m_i(t)u_i'(t) < \infty\}$$

We define

$$\|u_i\|_{m_i} = \|u_i\|_\infty + \|m_i u_i'\|_\infty$$

Where

$$m_i h_i(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t |h_i(s)| ds \right)^{-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t |h_i(s)| ds \right)^{-1}, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Let $\|(u_1, u_2)\|_2 = \|u_1\|_{m_1} + \|u_2\|_{m_2}$. So $(C_2[0,1], \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Banach space.

Let $K = \{(u_1, u_2) \in C_2[0,1] \mid u_i(0) = u_i(1) = 0, i = 1,2\}$ and

$$m_i(t) = \min\{m_i h_i(t), 1\}, \tag{4}$$

$$u_i(t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\varphi_{p_i} \left(u_i' \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) - \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{p_i} (u_i'(r))' dr \right) ds, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \int_t^1 \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(-\varphi_{p_i} \left(u_i' \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) - \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{p_i} (u_i'(r))' dr \right) ds, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Then $(u_1(t), u_2(t))$ is a solution of problem (1). We define

$$T_i(u_1(t), u_2(t)) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\varphi_{p_i} \left(u_i' \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) h_i f_i(u_1, u_2) \right) + \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(r) f_i(u_1(r), u_2(r)) dr \right) ds, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \int_t^1 \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(-\varphi_{p_i} \left(u_i' \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) h_i f_i(u_1, u_2) \right) + \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(r) f_i(u_1(r), u_2(r)) dr \right) ds, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

And $T(u_1, u_2) = (T_1(u_1, u_2), T_2(u_1, u_2)), (u_1, u_2) \in K$. Then $T(u_1, u_2) = (u_1, u_2)$ if and only if (u_1, u_2) is a solution of problem (1).

Theorem (1) Operator $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Suppose $G_1 \times G_2$ be a bounded subset of K . We prove $T(u_{1n}, u_{2n})$ is relative compact for a sequence $\{(u_{1n}, u_{2n})\} \subset G_1 \times G_2$. We show that if $(u_{01}, u_{02}) \in K$ and there is a subsequence $T(u_{1nl}, u_{2nl})$ of $T(u_{1n}, u_{2n})$ such that $T(u_{1nl}, u_{2nl}) \rightarrow (u_{01}, u_{02})$ where $l \rightarrow \infty$ in K and T is continuous on K . We prove $\{(m_1 T_1(u_{1n}, u_{2n}), (m_2 T_2(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))\}$ is uniform bounded in $C_2[0,1]$.

Since $G_1 \times G_2$ is bounded in K , there exists $\lambda_G > 0$. Such that $\|u_1\|_\infty + \|u_2\|_\infty < \lambda_G$ and $\|m_1 u_1'\|_\infty + \|m_2 u_2'\|_\infty < \lambda_G$, for $(u_1, u_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$. There is $\lambda'_G > 0$ so that $|\varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(u_i' \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) (h_i f_i(u_1, u_2))| < \lambda'_G$.

Similar to (Lee et al., 2014), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_i(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))'(t)| &\leq \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\left| \varphi_{p_i} \left(\varphi_{p_i} u_i' \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) (h_i f_i(u_1, u_2)) \right| + \int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(s)| |f_i(u_{1n}(s), u_{2n}(s))| ds \right) \\ &\leq \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} (\lambda'_G + \bar{f}_i \int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(s)| ds) \leq \lambda_{p_i} (\varphi_{p_i}^{-1} (\lambda'_G) + \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} (\bar{f}_i) \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(s)| ds \right)) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Where

$$\bar{f}_i = \max_{s \in [-\lambda_G, \lambda_G]} |f_i(u_1(s), u_2(s))| \lambda_{p_i} = \begin{cases} 1, & p_i > 2 \\ 2^{(2-p_i)(p_i-1)}, & 1 < p_i \leq 2 \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

So,

$$m_i(t) \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(s)| ds \right) \leq 1, \tag{8}$$

then for $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$m_i(t) |(T_i(u_{1n}(t), u_{2n}(t)))'| \leq \lambda_{p_i} (\varphi_{p_i}^{-1} (\lambda'_G) + \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} (\bar{f}_i)) \tag{9}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(m_1(T_1(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))', m_2(T_2(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))')| \\ & \leq \lambda_{p_1}(\varphi_{p_1}^{-1}(\lambda'_G) + \varphi_{p_1}^{-1}(\bar{f}_1)) \\ & \quad + \lambda_{p_2}(\varphi_{p_2}^{-1}(\lambda'_G) + \varphi_{p_2}^{-1}(\bar{f}_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can find the same upper bound of $(m_1(T_1(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))',$ thus

$$\{(m_1(T_1(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))', m_2(T_2(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))')\}$$

is bounded. Suppose that $h_i \in L^1(0,1)$, Since $\|m_i u'_{in}\|_\infty < \lambda_G$, then $|u'_{in}| < \lambda_G(m_i)^{-1} \in L^1(0,1)$. So $\{(u_{1n}, u_{2n})\}$ is equicontinuous in $C[0,1] \times C[0,1]$ and by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, there exist a sequence $\{(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})\}$ of $\{(u_{1n}, u_{2n})\}$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in C[0,1] \times C[0,1]$ such that $\{(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})\} \rightarrow (v_1, v_2)$.

Thus we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & m_i(t)\varphi_{p_i}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{p_i}\left(u'_i\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\right)(h_i f_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})) \\ & \quad + \int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) f_i(u_{1nk}(s), u_{2nk}(s)) ds \rightarrow \\ & m_i(t)\varphi_{p_i}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{p_i}\left(u'_i\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\right)(h_i f_i(v_1, v_2)) \\ & \quad + \int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Thus $\{(m_1(T_1(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))', m_2(T_2(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))')\}$ is equicontinuous.

If $h_i \in X_i \setminus L^1(0,1)$, $\{(m_1(T_1(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))', m_2(T_2(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))')\}$ is not equicontinuous. Thus there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that a sequence $\{(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})\}$ of $\{(u_{1n}, u_{2n})\}$ and sequence $\{t_k\}, \{s_k\} \subset (0,1)$ satisfying

$$|(m_i(T_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}))'(t_k) - m_i(T_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}))'(s_k))| \geq \varepsilon, \tag{11}$$

$|t_k - s_k| < \frac{1}{k}$, we show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = 0$ or 1. If it is not true, thus $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = t_0 \in (0,1)$. Let η satisfying $0 < \eta_0 < \min\{t_0, 1 - t_0\}$, so $h_i \in L^1[\eta_i, 1 - \eta_i]$ and $u_{ink} \rightarrow v_i$. Then we prove that $\{m_i(T_i(u_{1n}, u_{2n}))'\}$ is equicontinuous. So there is sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(m_i(T_i(u_{1nN}, u_{2nN}))'(t_N) \\ & \quad - m_i(T_i(u_{1nN}, u_{2nN}))'(s_N))| < \varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and this contradicts with (11). Consider $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 0$. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 1$ is similar. Then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & (m_i(T_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}))'(t_k) = \\ & \varphi_{p_i}^{-1}(m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k)\varphi_{p_i}\left(u'_i\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right))(h_i f_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})) \\ & \quad + m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k) \int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) f_i(u_{1nk}(s), u_{2nk}(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

So,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k)\varphi_{p_i}\left(u'_i\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)(h_i f_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})) = 0 \tag{14}$$

Since $u_{ink} \rightarrow v_i$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k) \int_{t_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) f_i(u_{1nk}(s), u_{2nk}(s)) \\ & \quad - (f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)) ds \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k) \int_{t_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) ds \|f_i(u_{1nk}(s), u_{2nk}(s)) - \\ & (f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)))\|_\infty \\ & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i(u_{1nk}(s), u_{2nk}(s)) - (f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)))\|_\infty = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k) \int_{t_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)) ds \\ & = \begin{cases} f_i(0,0), h_i > 0 \\ -f_i(0,0), h_i > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k) = \left(\int_{t_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(s)| ds\right)^{-1} \text{ implies that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_i^{p_i-1}(t_k) \int_{t_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)) ds = \\ & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)) ds}{\int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(s)| ds}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for $h_i f_i(v_1, v_2) \in L^1\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \times \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$ we have $f(0,0) = 0$.

$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{t_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_i(s)| ds = \infty$ implies that the limit (16) is 0. $h_i(s) f_i(v_1(s), v_2(s)) \notin L^1\left[t, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.

Using L'Hospital's rule, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (m_i(T_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}))'(t_k)) = \begin{cases} f_i(0,0), h_i > 0 \\ -f_i(0,0), h_i > 0 \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (m_i(T_i(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}))'(t_k)) = 0$ and this contradicts with (11).

Thus $\{(m_1(T_1(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}))', (m_2(T_2(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}))')\}$ is equicontinuous in $C[0,1] \times C[0,1]$.

Suppose that $(u_{1n}, u_{2n}) \rightarrow (\ddot{u}_1, \ddot{u}_2)$ in K. Since $G_1 \times G_2$ is compact, there is a sequence $\{(u_{1nj}, u_{2nj})\}$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in K$ such that $(T_1(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}), (T_2(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})) \rightarrow (v_1, v_2)$. We Know that T is continuous and $(u_{1nj}, u_{2nj}) \rightarrow (\ddot{u}_1, \ddot{u}_2)$, So $(T_1(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}), (T_2(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})) \rightarrow (T_1(\ddot{u}_1, \ddot{u}_2), T_2(\ddot{u}_1, \ddot{u}_2))$ then $(T_1(\ddot{u}_1, \ddot{u}_2), T_2(\ddot{u}_1, \ddot{u}_2)) \equiv (v_1, v_2)$ thus T is continuous on K.

Definition (2) For $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in C^2([0,1], \mathbb{R})$, (α_1, α_2) is said to be a lower (strict lower) solution of

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{p_1}(u_1') + F(u_1, u_2) = 0, t \in (0,1) \\ \varphi_{p_2}(u_2') + G(u_1, u_2) = 0, \\ u_1(0) = u_1(1) = u_2(0) = u_2(1). \end{cases}$$

$$\text{If } \begin{cases} \varphi_{p_1}(\alpha_1(t))' + F(\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t)) \geq 0, (>), t \in (0,1) \\ \varphi_{p_2}(\alpha_2(t))' + G(\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t)) \geq 0, (>) \\ \alpha_1(0) \leq 0 (< 0), \alpha_2(0) \leq 0 (< 0), \\ \alpha_2(0) \leq 0 (< 0), \alpha_2(1) \leq 0 (< 0). \end{cases}$$

An upper (strict upper) solution $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in C^2[0,1] \times C^2[0,1]$ can also be defined if it satisfies the reverse of the above inequalities.

RESULTS

Theorem (3) Suppose that there exist a strict lower solution (α_1, α_2) and a strict upper solution (β_1, β_2) of (1) such that $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. Then problem (1) has at least one solution (u_1, u_2) such that $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) < (u_1, u_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. Then the Leray-Schauder degree is $\deg(I - \Omega, 0) = 1$, (16) where,

$$\Omega = \{(u_1, u_2) \in K | (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) < (u_1, u_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2), \|(u_1, u_2)\|_2 < r\}$$

for $r > 0$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{p_1}(u_1'(t))' + h_1(t)f_1(\delta(u_1(t), u_2(t))) &= 0, t \in (0,1), \\ \varphi_{p_1}(u_1'(t))' + h_1(t)f_1(\delta(u_1(t), u_2(t))) &= 0 \\ u_1(0) = u_1(1) = u_2(0) = u_2(0) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Where $\delta: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\delta(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} (\beta_1, \beta_2), & (u_1, u_2) > (\beta_1, \beta_2) \\ (u_1, u_2), & (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \leq (u_1, u_2) \leq (\beta_1, \beta_2), \\ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), & (u_1, u_2) < (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

If (u_1, u_2) be a solution of (18), then $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) < (u_1, u_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ and (u_1, u_2) is a solution of (1). Let $\bar{T}: K \rightarrow K$ such that $\bar{T}(u_1, u_2) = T(\delta(u_1, u_2))$ so \bar{T} is bounded and there exists $r \gg 1$ such that $\|\bar{T}(u_1, u_2)\|_2 < r$ for $(u_1, u_2) \in K$. So we have,

$$\deg(I - \bar{T}, B_r(0), 0) = \deg(I, B_r(0), 0) = 1 \tag{20}$$

Where $B_r(0) = \{(u_1, u_2) \in K | \|(u_1, u_2)\|_2 < r\}$. Thus (18) has a solution and (1) has a solution (u_1, u_2) satisfying $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) < (u_1, u_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. From (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(I - T, \Omega, 0) &= \deg(I - \bar{T}, \Omega, 0) \\ &= \deg(I, B_r(0), 0) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Theorem (4) Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &\leq (\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq (\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \\ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &\leq (\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where (α_1, α_2) is a lower solution, (γ_1, γ_2) an upper solution, (η_1, η_2) a strict lower solution and (β_1, β_2) a strict upper solution of (1) and there exists $t_0 \in [0,1]$ such that $(\beta_1(t_0), \beta_2(t_0)) < (\eta_1(t_0), \eta_2(t_0))$.

Then problem (1) has at least three solutions $(u_1, u_2), (u'_1, u'_2), (u''_1, u''_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &\leq (u_1, u_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2), \\ (\eta_1, \eta_2) &< (u'_1, u'_2) \leq (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$(u''_1, u''_2) \in ([\alpha_1, \gamma_1] \times [\alpha_2, \gamma_2]) \setminus$$

$$([\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times [\alpha_2, \beta_2]) \cup ([\eta_1, \gamma_1] \times [\eta_2, \gamma_2]).$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{p_1}(u_1'(t))' + h_1(t)f_1(\delta(u_1(t), u_2(t))) &= 0, t \in (0,1), \\ \varphi_{p_1}(u_1'(t))' + h_1(t)f_1(\delta(u_1(t), u_2(t))) &= 0 \\ u_1(0) = u_1(1) = u_2(0) = u_2(0). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Where $\delta: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\delta(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} (\gamma_1, \gamma_2), & (u_1, u_2) > (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \\ (u_1, u_2), & (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \leq (u_1, u_2) \leq (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \\ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), & (u_1, u_2) < (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

We know that $(\alpha_1 - \varepsilon, \alpha_2 + \varepsilon), (\gamma_1 + \varepsilon, \gamma_2 + \varepsilon)$ are strict lower solution and strict upper solution of (24). Thus, if (u_1, u_2) is a solution of (24), then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1 - \varepsilon, \alpha_2 + \varepsilon) &< (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \leq (u_1, u_2) \\ &\leq (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) < (\gamma_1 + \varepsilon, \gamma_2 + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1, implies that there is a sufficient $r > 0$ such that the following satisfying,

$$\Omega_1 = \{(u_1, u_2) \in K | (\alpha_1 - \varepsilon, \alpha_2 - \varepsilon) < (u_1, u_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2), \|(u_1, u_2)\|_2 < r\}$$

$$\Omega_2 = \{(u_1, u_2) \in K | (\eta_1, \eta_2) < (u_1, u_2) < (\gamma_1 + \varepsilon, \gamma_2 + \varepsilon), \|(u_1, u_2)\|_2 < r\}$$

$$\Omega_3 = \{(u_1, u_2) \in K | (\alpha_1 - \varepsilon, \alpha_2 - \varepsilon) < (u_1, u_2) < (\gamma_1 + \varepsilon, \gamma_2 + \varepsilon), \|(u_1, u_2)\|_2 < r\}$$

let $T_\delta: K \rightarrow K$ is defined by $T_\delta(u_1, u_2)(t) = T(\delta(u_1, u_2))$. Thus we have $\deg(I - T_\delta, \Omega_3 \setminus (\overline{\Omega_1} \cup \overline{\Omega_2}), 0) = -1$. So there are three solutions of (24), $(u_1, u_2) \in \overline{\Omega_1}$, $(u'_1, u'_2) \in \overline{\Omega_2}$, $(u''_1, u''_2) \in \Omega_3 \setminus (\overline{\Omega_1} \cup \overline{\Omega_2})$. Suppose that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{p_1}(u_1') + \lambda_1 h_1(t) f_1(u_1, u_2) = 0, t \in (0,1) \\ \varphi_{p_2}(u_2') + \lambda_2 h_2(t) f_2(u_1, u_2) = 0, \\ u_1(0) = u_1(1) = u_2(0) = u_2(0). \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &> 0, h_i > 0, \\ H_1) \min_{t \in (0,1)} h_i(t) &= \underline{h_i} > 0, \\ H_2) \lim_{u_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(u_i, 0)}{\varphi_{p_i}(u_i)} &= 0, \\ H_3) \lim_{u_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(0, u_i)}{\varphi_{p_i}(u_i)} &= 0, \text{ and} \\ H_4) f_i &\text{ is nondecreasing.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (5) Suppose $(H_1) - (H_4)$ hold $a > 0, b > 0, c > 0, d > 0$ such that $a < b, c < d$ and $\frac{a^{p_1-1}}{f_1(a,0)} > r_1 \frac{b^{p_1-1}}{f_1(b,0)}, \frac{c^{p_2-1}}{f_2(0,c)} > r_2 \frac{d^{p_2-1}}{f_2(0,d)}$ where $r_i = 4^{p_i} (\frac{\|u_i\|_\infty^{p_i-1}}{h_i})$ and u_i the solution of

$$\varphi_{p_i}(u_i') + h_i(t) = 0, u_i(0) = u_i(1) = 0 \tag{27}$$

then for $\theta_i > 0$ satisfying

$$r_1 \frac{b^{p_1-1}}{f_1(b,0)\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} < \theta_1 < \frac{a^{p_1-1}}{f_1(a,0)\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}},$$

$$r_2 \frac{d^{p_2-1}}{f_2(0,d)\|u_2\|_\infty^{p_2-1}} < \theta_2 < \frac{c^{p_2-1}}{f_2(0,c)\|u_2\|_\infty^{p_2-1}},$$
(28)

problem (26) has three positive solutions.

Proof. From (28) it is easy to see that $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \equiv (0,0)$ is a lower solution of (26).

Suppose $(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (a(\frac{u_1}{\|u_1\|_\infty}), c(\frac{u_2}{\|u_2\|_\infty}))$. We have

$$-\varphi_{p_1}(\beta_1'(t))' = \frac{a^{p_1-1}}{\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} \varphi_{p_1}(u_1)' = \frac{a^{p_1-1}}{\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} h_i(t)$$

$$> \theta_1 h_1(t) f_1(a, 0) \geq \theta_1 h_1(t) f_1(\beta_1(t), 0).$$
(29)

Thus $(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (a(\frac{u_1}{\|u_1\|_\infty}), c(\frac{u_2}{\|u_2\|_\infty}))$ is an upper solution of (26). Now, let (u_1, u_2) be a solution of (26) and $(u_1, u_2) \leq (\beta_1, \beta_2) = (a(\frac{u_1}{\|u_1\|_\infty}), c(\frac{u_2}{\|u_2\|_\infty}))$, we show that $(u_1, u_2) < (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. Otherwise, there exist $t_1 < t_2$ such that $(u_1'(t_2), u_2'(t_2)) < (\beta_1'(t_2), \beta_2'(t_2))$ and $(u_1'(t_1), u_2'(t_1)) = (\beta_1'(t_1), \beta_2'(t_1))$

Then we have

$$0 \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} -\theta_i h_i(s) f_i(u_1(s), u_2(s))$$

$$+ \theta_i h_i(s) f_i(\beta_1(s), \beta_2(s))$$

$$< \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi_{p_i}(u_i'(s)) - \varphi_{p_i}(\beta_i'(s))' ds < 0,$$
(30)

It is a contradiction. Since

$$\varphi_{p_i}(u_i'(t))' - \varphi_{p_i}(\beta_i'(t))' > 0, t \in (0,1),$$
(31)

There is $e \in (0,1)$ such that

$(u_1'(e), u_2'(e)) > (\beta_1'(e), \beta_2'(e))$ if not $(u_1'(e), u_2'(e)) \leq (\beta_1'(e), \beta_2'(e))$ so we have $\varphi_{p_i}(u_i'(1)) - \varphi_{p_i}(\beta_i'(1)) > \varphi_{p_i}(u_i'(d)) - \varphi_{p_i}(\beta_i'(d)) > 0$ and thus $m_i u_i'(1) > m_i \beta_i'(1)$ then

$$m_i \beta_i'(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} m_i(t) \beta_i'(t) =$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{\varphi_{p_i}^{-1}(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t h_i(s) ds)} \times \left[\begin{aligned} & -\varphi_{p_i}^{-1}(-\alpha_i \left(\frac{a^{p_1-1}}{\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} h_i \right) + \\ & \frac{a^{p_1-1}}{\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t h_i(s) ds) \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$= \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(-\frac{a^{p_1-1}}{\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} \right).$$

L'Hospital's rule, implies that

$$m_i u_i'(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} m_i(t) u_i'(t) =$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{\varphi_{p_i}^{-1}(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t h_i(s) ds)}$$

$$\times \left[\begin{aligned} & -\varphi_{p_i}^{-1}(-\alpha_i(\theta_i h_i f_i(u_1, u_2)) + \\ & \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t \theta_i h_i(s) f_i(u_1(s), u_2(s)) ds) \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} -\varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(-\frac{\alpha_i(\theta_i h_i f_i(u_1, u_2))}{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t h_i(s) ds} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t \theta_i h_i f_i(u_1, u_2) ds}{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t h_i(s) ds} \right)$$

$$= \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(-\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t \theta_i h_i(s) f_i(u_1(s), u_2(s)) ds}{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t h_i(s) ds} \right)$$

$$= \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(-\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\theta_i h_i(t) f_i(u_1(t), u_2(t))}{h_i(t)} \right)$$

$$= \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(-\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \theta_i f_i(u_1(t), u_2(t)) \right) = \varphi_{p_i}^{-1}(-\theta_i f_i(0,0))$$

For $i=1$ we have $m_1 u_1'(1) > \varphi_{p_1}^{-1} \left(-\frac{a^{p_1-1} f_1(0,0)}{f_1(a,0)\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} \right)$

$$> \varphi_{p_1}^{-1} \left(-\frac{a^{p_1-1}}{\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} \right) = m_1 \beta_1'(1)$$

Thus $m_1 u_1'(1) > m_1 \beta_1'(1)$ we proved (β_1, β_2) is a strict upper solution of (26).

Now, let θ_1^* , $k, j > 1$ satisfying $r_1 \frac{b^{p_1-1}}{f_1(b,0)\|u_1\|_\infty^{p_1-1}} < \theta_1^* < \theta_1, 1 < (k_1 j)^{p_1-1} < \frac{\theta_1^* h_1 f_1(b,0)}{4^{p_1} b^{p_1-1}}$.

Suppose that η_1 be the solution of

$$\varphi_{p_1}(\eta_1'(t))' + \theta_1^* h_1 f_1(v_1(t), v_2(t)) = 0,$$

$$\eta_1(0) = \eta_1(1) = 0,$$

Where $v_1(t) = b\tau_1(t), v_2(t) = d\tau_2(t)$, and

$$\tau_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - (1 - (4t)^{k_i})^j, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ 1, & \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

So we have

$$\eta_1'(t) = \varphi_{p_1}^{-1} \left(\int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_1^* h_1 f_1(v_1(s), v_2(s)) ds \right)$$

$$\geq \varphi_{p_1}^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_1^* h_1 f_1(m_1(s), m_2(s)) ds \right)$$

$$= (\theta_1^* h_1 f_1(b, 0) \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{p_1-1}} > v_1'(t).$$

then $(\eta_1, \eta_2) > (v_1, v_2)$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
m_i u_i'(0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} m_i(t) u_i'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} h_i(s) ds \right)} \\
&\quad \times \left[\varphi_{p_i}^{-1} (\alpha_i (\theta_i h_i f_i(u_1, u_2))) + \int_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_i h_i(s) f_i(u_1(s), u_2(s)) ds \right] \\
&= \varphi_{p_i}^{-1} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\theta_i h_i(t) f_i(u_1(t), u_2(t))}{h_i(t)} \right) \\
&= \theta_i f_i(0, 0) > 0 = m_i \eta_i'(0).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $(u_1, u_2) \geq (\eta_1, \eta_2)$. Thus (η_1, η_2) is a strict lower solution of (26).

REFERENCES

- Cheng, X., & Lü, H. (2012). Multiplicity of positive solutions for a (p_1, p_2) -Laplacian system and its applications. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 13(5), 2375-2390.
- Lee, Y.-H., Kim, S.-U., & Lee, E. K. (2014). *Three Solutions Theorem for-Laplacian Problems with a Singular Weight and Its Application*. Paper presented at the Abstract and Applied Analysis.
- Liang, S., & Zhang, J. (2009). The existence of countably many positive solutions for some nonlinear three-point boundary problems on the half-line. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 70(9), 3127-3139.

Let $\gamma_1 = \frac{\theta_1 l_1 u_1}{\|u_1\|_\infty}$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{\theta_2 l_2 u_2}{\|u_2\|_\infty}$, From (H_3) there exists $l_i \gg 1$ such that $\frac{f_i(\theta_1 l_1, \theta_2 l_2)}{(\theta_i l_i)^{p_i-1}} < \frac{\theta_i}{\|u_i\|_\infty^{p_i-1}}$,

$(\eta_1, \eta_2) > (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, $(\beta_1, \beta_2) < (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.

So

$$-\varphi_{p_i}(\gamma_i'(t))' = -\frac{(\theta_i l_i)^{p_i-1} \varphi_{p_i}(u_i'(t))'}{\|u_i\|_\infty^{p_i-1}} >$$

$$\theta_i h_i(t) f_i(\theta_1 l_1, \theta_2 l_2) \geq \theta_i h_i(t) f_i(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)).$$

Thus (γ_1, γ_2) is an upper solution of (26) and by theorem 4 problem (1) has three solutions.

- Pang, H., Lian, H., & Ge, W. (2007). Multiple positive solutions for second-order four-point boundary value problem. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 54(9), 1267-1275.
- Sim, I., & Tanaka, S. (2015). Three positive solutions for one-dimensional p -Laplacian problem with sign-changing weight. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 49, 42-50.
- Sun, B., & Ge, W. (2007). Existence and iteration of positive solutions for some p -Laplacian boundary value problems. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 67(6), 1820-1830.